

I'm sure you all are familiar with this, but just as a reminder

Some of these challenges EPA is working to address where others we cannot.

For example we cannot provide funding for implementation,; however,
We can help fund development of programs through our WPDGs
States and tribes can use their 106 funding
States and tribes can charge permit fees – MI and NJ do this
FL is not intending to charge fees for 404; however, they do charge for their ERP permits which have similar requirements to
404

MI issues X permits per year and year and charges charges permit fees ranging from \$50 for homeowners to X for large developments or mining operations,

NJ issues X permits per year and charges charges permit fees ranging from \$50 for homeowners to X for large developments or industrial operations, they also use some general funds – originally structured to be self funding, now fees go to state treasury and they receive a budget. They also charge up to \$10k for a JD

2

- Convened Federal Advisory Committee (FACA) on assumable waters recommendations delivered to EPA Administrator 7/1/17.
- Initiated rulemaking to update assumption regulations 5/18; proposed update will:
 - Clarify which waters are assumable considering recommendations of the FACA:
 - viake lie charcal podates a color alloving electronic seporting and
 - Clarify other requirements identified as patentially needing revision.
- Working directly with states and tribes interested in pursuing assumption.
- Developing a webpage as a resource for state and tribal agencies interested in assumption or currently administering an approved program.
- Increasing HQ staff team knowledgeable about assumption.

Technical updates -

Clarifying where mitigation regulations direct the District engineer to chair the IRT that in approved s/t programs the state is the chair or could co-chair etc.

Where the EPA address is

Clarification on ESA consultation requirements

Currently working with: FL, AZ, IN, VT, NH, MN have heard others are interested but they have not actively engaged us at this time.

- If you are interested, we encourage you to reach out to your EPA Regional counterpart. WE want you to develop the program that works for you, if that is assumption, we want to help ensure the program is consistent with the Act so we can approve it.

Preliminary Issues to Address in Proposed Rule

- Clarification of which waters are assumable as informed by FACA subcommittee recommendations.
- Clarification of requirements and removal of state and tribal identified "barriers" raised during:
 - E A de la company de la compan
 - ECOS workgroup 2010 lean effort to identify parties and streamline assumption.
 - FACA on assumable waters indentified otheriss estimithe regulations.
 - Requests from states, tribes and associations letters, workshops, meetings.
 - Experience working with states and tribes investigating and pursuing assumption.

- Recent efforts of FL, MD, OR, OH, AZ and others have identified areas that could benefit from additional clarity either in the regulations or in an FAQ document or guidance.

Current Schedule & Opportunity for Engagement

Schedule

- Fall 2018 In table Federal stress of the control of
 - Inspersor meeting(s) as well as sebicar(s)
- September 2019 Propose revision to regulations; public comment period with additional outreach.
- eptember 2000-indication

As we move forward

- Please provide its valid thought and suggestions.
- As you comment, think about what will make this implementable in the field and nationally.

EPA is committed to collaborating with states and tribes.

Will engage in federalism consultation.

Will engage in Tribal consultation.

S&T will have ability to comment during federalism, public comment periods, public meetings.

EPA will work with states and tribes interested in assumption or development of any wetlands or dredged or fill permitting program.

